Appl. No. 10/579,300

Amdt. dated March 19, 2010

Reply to Office Action of November 19, 2009

REMARKS

Applicant appreciates the indication of the allowability of dependent claims 2-4. These

claims were objected to as depending from rejected claim 1. In order to expedite the

prosecution of the present application, claims 2 and 4 have been amended to place them in

independent form incorporating the limitations of claim 1. Allowable claim 3 depends from

claim 2. Accordingly, the objection of claims 2-4 has been overcome and these claims are now

in a condition for allowance.

Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No.

4,502,303 to Wasemann. Claim 1 has been canceled by amendment herein, thereby rendering

the rejection moot.

In consideration of the foregoing analysis, it is respectfully submitted that the present

application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is

determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the examiner is invited to

initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the

present application.

If there are any fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our

Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. AEG-40434.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

By: /Aaron A. Fishman/

Aaron A. Fishman, Reg. No. 44682

1801 East 9th Street

Suite 1200

Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108

(216) 579-1700

Date: March 19, 2010